

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Earl R. Johnson, #318291,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sheriff Crenshaw; 2 Unnamed
Anderson County Detectives;
Attorney Chuck Allen,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 6:07-3791-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint, which alleges violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On December 13, 2007, United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 10 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriner's Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of any portion of the R&R. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this

Court, and it is

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

January 11, 2008
Charleston, South Carolina

